Breaking the Cycle /Futures theory of change

Where are we now	Changes to the system	Proxy indicators of success	New local systems and organisational	Better outcomes, safer families and communities and better value for
			conditions and practices	money
-Disjointed and poorly funded	-Key agencies of Health,	-Functioning	-That Leeds City Council	-That fewer parents experience fewer incidents
services-currently is no	social care and 3 rd sector	multiagency	Children and Families s	of repeat proceedings and fewer babies are
recurrently or routinely funded	working together within a	steering group	services have a response to	taken into care.
service .	steering group to establish		young parents experiencing	
	clear and sustainable	-that further	the first time removal of a	-That affected parents improve their overall
-High incidence of young	pathways through shared	pregnancies are	child –with priority to care	health and well- being eg, mental health
mothers	funding streams and joint	delayed or	leavers	physical health- (heart disease ,dental health
	decision making.	prevented and		cancer, obesity), reduced substance use.
-High incidence of care		repeat proceedings	- <mark>That GPs and universal</mark>	-That affected parents improve their life
experienced or edge of care	-engaging with national	and subsequent	services are connected both	circumstances eg stable housing,
parents parents	agencies to learn and grow	infant removals are	strategically and	engagement in employment and training,
	what works	avoided.	operationally to an effective	reduced vulnerabilty ,strong positive social
-Nationally very little		-That measurable	pathway for parents in repeat	<mark>networks</mark>
knowledge of what works	-creating a unilateral	progress is made	proceedings.	-That these overall improved health and well-
	approach that can align,	with clients		being and life circumstances now and into the
-Challenges in effectively	support and develop all	according to their	-That organisations across	future will reduce the burden to society as a
engaging this group	<mark>agencies</mark>	own goals and	child and adult services	whole, but very specifically to GPs, front line
	<mark>-demonstrate clear</mark>	looking towards	strategically consider this	universal services , tertiary health care services
-High levels of complex need-	alignment to local and	positive life choices	group in collaboration and in	and child protection services.
physical, social and emotional	<mark>national</mark> policies and	and chances	line with policy, including	-That existing adult and child services create
	<mark>projects</mark>		commissioning co	actual and meaningful shared practices and
-Poor health outcomes highly	-develop clear and shared	- <mark>Effective pathways</mark>		financial partnerships that routinely utilise
associated with high ACES	methods to monitor and	that agencies are	-That all services involved	shared economies of scale and so mutual cost
	evaluate service delivery	aware of and know	with this group work	benefit and forward looking sustainable
-High levels of repeat removals	and development	how to access	collaboratively to holistically	planning.
(every parent who experiences	-	-Partner agency and	<mark>deliver a needs led package</mark>	
one infant removal is likely to		service access	of care for each	-That a universal ,longitudinal and ever
experience an average of 3		agreements in place	individual/family referred.	improving response to the issue of parents in
more) ie.the issue is routine		that are working to		repeat proceedings and how we work together
and expected	Changes to front line	the same aim and	New experiences for service	to break the cycle is as routine and predictable
	practice	acknowledge the	users	as the problem itself.
-Ineffective and untimely	-Multiagency approach to	contribution of all	That service users are entirely	
transition across service	the issue of repeat	partner agencies in	involved in thinking and	
boundaries .	proceedings and the	accordance with	planning and decision making	
	development of a HUB	service user need	about their care.	

-No clear single point of access	(through the Futures	-That affected		
or responsibility to 'hold' this	resource) model of central	parents are	-That service users	
group.	reference	engaging in services	experience, as far as possible,	
-No clear pathway from point	reference	and that we know	support and intervention that	
of identification.	-a dedicated and specialist	where and who they	is tailored to individual need	
-High representation in high	intensive assertive		and is flexible and responsive	
need areas	outreach team (Futures)to	are - <mark>clear and</mark>	to service user's preferences.	
-Recognised long term poor	engage parents in help and	measurable health	-That service users partners	
outcomes in all areas of health	directly deliver therapeutic	outcomes are	and personal networks are	
and well being		captured eg	taken into account, in	
and well being	interventions	Involvement with	accordance with service user	
	No. Itis and a second and a second			
	 -Multiagency development and training through 	<mark>Gp ,</mark> Dentist	wishes when delivering	
		Reproductive/sexual	intervention and as far as	
	network HUB events		possible are involved in	
	- dedicated network of	health services Substance use	service approaches	
			That we report is (least' and	
	agencies that make up the	Mental health	-That no parent is 'lost' and	
	HUB and that can work		is aware of how to contact	
	collaboratively to respond		identified lead worker at all	
	to individual circumstance		times even if they are	
	at the point of contact.		choosing to not engage in	
	- an open door policy via		service involvement at that	
	the HUB that acknowledged		time-an open door policy.	
	individual's struggles to		-That all service users	
	access services		experience a ready response	
	- <mark>dedicated</mark>		at the point of contact.	
	practitioners/social		Theteemie	
	prescribers as direct points		-That service users	
	of contact for specific GPs		experience as little 'hand off'	
	in target pilot areas that		to additional services as	
	can actively work within the		possible, but rather a	
	HUB and social prescribing		response is built around the	
	networks to ensure 'right		most effective relationship.	
	service, right time'			
	-High quality supervision			
	and consultation for			
	practitioners.			

Green represents elements that we can directly affect as the Futures team and committed HUB partners, solely funded and supported through childrens social care but only for the period of the funding and only for those service users who access FUTURES or committed HUB partners

Yellow represents elements that we can only partially affect as the Futures team and HUB partners but could directly affect using non recurrent CCG funding, but again only for the period of the funding but with a wider reach and scope of service users

Red represents elements that we can only effect through long term multiagency investment and commitment to this issue.